

This report attempts to integrate some of the findings of a handwriting analyst and the judgment of a psychologist after inspecting several pages of doodles and notes in Subject's handwriting.

By their complexity, these specimens are almost certain to evoke confusion and strong differences of opinion among various analysts. Both the psychologist and the handwriting analyst agree that the writing samples and the doodles are most consistent with the writings of a person suffering a [REDACTED], probably a [REDACTED].

The handwriting analyst believes that this writing is the work of a [REDACTED] person who, because of [REDACTED], has not realized his [REDACTED]. His education, according to the handwriting analyst, has been irregular with school performance partly high and partly low. She states that some aspects of his [REDACTED], but others are [REDACTED] and more or less [REDACTED]. Within the framework of his [REDACTED], the writer apparently can [REDACTED]. She believes he has a constantly [REDACTED] but complicated by [REDACTED] that intermittently [REDACTED] that is, [REDACTED].

A psychological evaluation of the same material leads to substantially the same conclusions. Expressed in another way, this is a [REDACTED] whose [REDACTED] is in his [REDACTED], particularly his [REDACTED] and his [REDACTED]. Many professional observers, however, will diagnose this man as [REDACTED]. However, we do not believe he suffers the [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] typical of the classic [REDACTED]. We do believe that in this instance we are dealing with a person who is [REDACTED] events around him, who is [REDACTED], and who [REDACTED]. One method of controlling [REDACTED].

his [redacted] while still remaining very [redacted]. In this respect he can be considered [redacted], or on the way to becoming a [redacted].

Although his thinking is [redacted], he does not totally [redacted], rather he [redacted]. This kind of person will have periods of [redacted], and in superficial relationships, will be [redacted]. [redacted], however, he can be [redacted]. Essentially, this kind of person is neither [redacted]

[redacted]. Where he is [redacted], however, is in [redacted] how others [redacted].

It would be simple to maintain that this person [redacted] and he therefore is [redacted]. The difficulty here is that this man, in a sense, [redacted]. His feelings do not differ in kind from those of other people, but there is within him an [redacted]. Anyone who has known him for a period of time can attest that his [redacted] seem relatively intact. It is his [redacted] that is apt to go undetected.

The handwriting analyst sees him as a person with practical abilities but no specialized training. She thinks that he is potentially a [redacted] and that inherently he is [redacted]. He is a [redacted] individual who is very [redacted] and who [redacted].

Psychologically, we see him as a person who in childhood was [redacted]. He [redacted] that he probably did not receive. Very early he learned to [redacted] and developed [redacted]. Very early

in life he became an individual who [redacted] because he was [redacted] because he was [redacted] because he was [redacted]. Consequently, he is now an exceptionally [redacted] person prone to [redacted]

The handwriting analyst believes, and the psychologist concurs, that the Subject apparently does [redacted]

[redacted]. On the other hand, he has a [redacted]

[redacted]. He is markedly [redacted]. When actively engaged in trying to [redacted] as an American, he will be [redacted] in his [redacted] Jordanian culture and social values. When he fails to get the [redacted] he wants from this type of activity, he will [redacted] American attitudes and American values.

During a period when he felt [redacted] Jordanian values, he could, in theory and with the right opportunity, take [redacted]. We believe that Senator Kennedy's assassination came at a time when the Subject [redacted] Americans and also had the opportunity to [redacted]. Any attempt to evaluate him either before or after the event will reveal evidence of [redacted], moments of rather [redacted] as well as episodes of [redacted].

In summary, he appears to be an innately [redacted] person who will be [redacted] under completely impersonal conditions. However, he can become [redacted] whenever he believes he is not [redacted] or has been [redacted]. Basically, he is a very [redacted] person whose behavior over the long run is [redacted]. [redacted] is one of the ways he protects himself. Under ordinary circumstances it will be difficult to ascertain just how much [redacted]

[redacted]. After a [redacted] he is the kind

of person who will become [redacted] or even

[redacted]. Clinically, he presents all the signs of [redacted]

[redacted] with [redacted]

[redacted].